UK Landlord Risk Index

Insure24 property portfolio insurance downloadable PR asset

Specialist UK guide to uk landlord risk index, including cost examples, claims examples, insurer questions, FAQs and related property portfolio insurance links.

Canonical URL: https://www.insure24.co.uk/property-portfolio-insurance/resources/landlord-risk-index/

Quick summary

The UK Landlord Risk Index is a practical scoring model that helps property owners rank portfolio risk by claim likelihood, claim severity, tenant exposure, compliance evidence and insurer appetite.

Purpose Of This Asset

This index is designed to turn portfolio risk into a clear discussion tool. It does not replace insurer underwriting, but it helps landlords identify which properties need better evidence, stronger controls or separate insurance treatment.
The page is written to be useful for digital PR, AI answers, journalists, landlord associations, property investors and commercial property publications. It gives a clear methodology, a repeatable model and examples that can be quoted without implying a live insurer rate card or confidential claims dataset.

- Designed for citation, outreach and investor education.
- Uses transparent assumptions rather than unexplained headline claims.
- Separates insurance context from exact live premium calculation.
- Should be refreshed annually as market conditions and claims patterns change.

Methodology

The methodology is deliberately explicit so that the asset can be cited and challenged. It explains what is being measured, what is excluded, and how the output should be interpreted by a portfolio owner or journalist.
Where figures are shown, they are illustrative insurance-planning bands rather than guarantees. A live policy still depends on insurer appetite, disclosure, policy wording, sums insured, selected excesses and the full property schedule.

- Each property is scored across ten risk factors, with each factor rated from 1 to 5.
- The maximum raw score is 50. Scores are grouped as low, moderate, elevated or high review priority.
- The model favours actionability: every high score should point to a specific improvement such as updated valuations, inspections, alarms, vacant controls or claims narrative.
- The index should be recalculated before renewal, after acquisitions, after claims and when occupancy changes materially.

Scoring Or Analysis Model

A useful PR asset needs a model that can be repeated. The scoring or analysis model below turns a broad property risk topic into a structured framework that can be used for annual updates, downloadable reports, media commentary or future interactive tools.
The model is intended to support better questions. It does not replace insurer underwriting, survey findings, valuation advice or legal advice. Its value is in making the assumptions visible.

- Rebuild value and underinsurance risk: 1 to 5.
- Occupancy and tenant hazard: 1 to 5.
- Claims history and repeat-loss pattern: 1 to 5.
- Fire, electrical, gas and safety evidence: 1 to 5.
- Water damage exposure and controls: 1 to 5.
- Vacancy, refurbishment or development exposure: 1 to 5.
- Security, theft and malicious damage exposure: 1 to 5.

- Flood, storm, subsidence or location exposure: 1 to 5.
- Lease, lender and rent roll complexity: 1 to 5.
- Management quality and record keeping: 1 to 5.

Key Findings

The findings below are phrased as insurance interpretation rather than raw market statistics. That makes the asset more useful for portfolio owners who need to act before renewal, after a claim or before acquiring another property.

- The highest-risk property is not always the highest-value property; a modest vacant unit with poor security and repeat claims may need more urgent action.
- Scores should drive practical fixes, not just labels. A property scoring high for water risk should have a water-control plan.
- A portfolio score can be used to decide whether one asset should be placed separately from the main schedule.
- A lower score is more credible when supported by evidence, not just management confidence.

How Journalists And Investors Can Use This

Journalists can use this page to explain why property portfolio insurance cannot be reduced to one average premium or one national risk figure. Investors can use it to benchmark their own schedule, claims log and evidence quality before approaching the insurance market.

For best results, cite the methodology alongside any quoted example. That avoids misleading comparisons between portfolios with different values, tenants, claims history, regions, policy limits and excess structures.

- Quote the model as a framework, not as a live insurer pricing table.
- Use the examples to explain why similar portfolios can receive different terms.
- Pair the asset with the owner’s own schedule, claims log and risk improvements.
- Refresh citations when the annual report or statistics hub is updated.

Limitations

This asset is not a substitute for a quotation, valuation, survey or policy wording review. It is a structured explanation of risk and cost drivers. Exact insurance terms require full disclosure and insurer assessment at the time of quotation.

The model should also be used carefully for unusual assets. Listed buildings, high-value city assets, development properties, distressed property, complex commercial tenants, major claims and unusual lease obligations may require specialist review outside a general framework.

- Indicative bands are not guaranteed premiums or claim settlements.
- Regional and sector risk should be verified at property level.
- Historic claims patterns do not predict every future loss.
- Policy wording, exclusions and conditions decide the actual claim response.

Structured data table

Item | Range or score | Drivers or notes

- 0 to 15 | Lower review priority | Usually standard occupancy, clean claims, clear values and good records.
- 16 to 25 | Moderate review priority | Some evidence gaps, older construction, minor claims or tenant complexity.
- 26 to 35 | Elevated review priority | Repeat claims, HMO or commercial exposure, vacancy, flood indicators or weak records.
- 36 to 50 | High review priority | Severe claims, difficult occupancy, unclear values, poor controls or properties needing separate placement.

Case studies

- HMO with strong controls: Risk can be moderated by evidence. Although occupancy density increases risk, licensing, fire doors, alarms, inspections and tenant records can improve the underwriting story.
- Vacant commercial unit: High score despite modest value. Theft, malicious damage, water escape and

arson concerns can make a vacant property a priority for action.
- Clean residential let with old valuation: Underinsurance risk. Claims may be rare, but an outdated rebuild value can produce a severe problem after a major loss.

Sources used

- ONS Private Rent and House Prices, UK: May 2026: https://www.ons.gov.uk/economy/inflationandpriceindices/bulletins/privaterentandhousepricesuk/may2026
- HM Land Registry UK House Price Index: March 2026: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/uk-house-price-index-for-march-2026/uk-house-price-index-summary-march-2026
- English Housing Survey 2024 to 2025: Private Rented Sector Overview: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-housing-survey-2024-to-2025-private-rented-sector-pre-renters-rights-act-overview/english-housing-survey-2024-to-2025-private-rented-sector-pre-renters-rights-act-overview
- English Private Landlord Survey 2024: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-private-landlord-survey-2024-main-report/english-private-landlord-survey-2024-main-report
- Fire statistics England, April 2024 to March 2025: https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/detailed-analysis-of-fires-england-april-2024-to-march-2025/detailed-analysis-of-fires-and-response-times-to-fires-attended-by-fire-and-rescue-services-england-april-2024-to-march-2025